

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 \* \* \*

6 DAWN HANSEN, et al.,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 KATHLEEN E. DELANEY, et al.,

10 Defendants.  
11

Case No. 2:21-cv-00135-JAD-DJA

**ORDER**

12 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion to file electronically (ECF No. 23) and  
13 motion to receive a copy of the "vexatious litigant motion" (ECF No. 25). Under Local Rule IC 2-  
14 1(b), a pro se litigant may request the court's authorization to register as a filer in a specific case.  
15 Plaintiffs assert that they have access to a computer, frequent access to their email account, a word  
16 processing program, the ability to convert word processing documents into PDFs, and a way to  
17 scan documents to create attachments. (ECF No. 23). Because the Court finds that Plaintiffs are  
18 capable of filing electronically, it grants their motion to file electronically (ECF No. 23). Because  
19 the Court finds that Plaintiffs will be able to access the docket—and thus filed motions—  
20 electronically upon receiving a CM/ECF account, it denies their motion for a copy of the vexatious  
21 litigant motion as moot.

22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Plaintiffs' motion to file electronically (ECF  
23 No. 23) is GRANTED.

24 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Plaintiffs' motion to receive a copy of the  
25 "vexatious litigant motion" (ECF No. 25) is DENIED as moot.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiffs must comply with the following procedures  
27 to activate their CM/ECF account:  
28

- DATED: June 11, 2021

Page 2 of 2